Lensless ghost imaging with sunlight
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An experiment demonstrating lensless ghost imaging (GI) with sunlight has been performed. A narrow spectral line is first filtered out and its intensity correlation measured. With this true thermal light source, an object consisting of two holes is imaged. The realization of lensless GI with sunlight is a step forward toward the practical application of GI with ordinary daylight as the source of illumination. © 2014 Optical Society of America
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Imaging is one of the most familiar phenomena in optics. In traditional imaging, a detector with spatial resolution is needed to detect the signal and record the image of an object. In 1995, Shih’s group first demonstrated a new type of imaging, called ghost imaging (GI), in which only a single-pixel detector or a bucket detector is needed to collect the light from the object, while a detector with spatial resolution, called the reference detector, is used to collect information about the source [1]. At first, GI was performed with entangled photons as the source and was considered a characteristic of entanglement, but it was found later that GI can also be achieved with thermal light, the earliest experiments being based on pseudo-thermal light generated by a laser passing through a rotating ground glass plate [2–5]. The first demonstration of GI with true thermal light was achieved by Wu’s group, in which the source was a hollow-cathode lamp [6].

One of the differences between GI with entangled light and thermal light is that the latter can be used to realize lensless imaging [7–11]. As in thermal light GI, only a single-pixel detector is needed to collect the object information without any imaging lens, which makes the imaging setup much simpler and more adaptable. Therefore, thermal light GI has been widely demonstrated in fields, such as fluorescence imaging [12], lidar detection [13], and optical coherence tomography [14]. However, until now both the experiments of GI with pseudo-thermal and true thermal light were realized with manmade light sources; achieving GI with naturally occurring light will have enormous value in real applications. We report here a clear demonstration of lensless GI with sunlight, the most common natural light source [15].

In the first experiment measuring the intensity correlation of thermal light performed by Hanbury Brown and Twiss (HBT) [16,17], it was found that only when the coherence time of the light field is close to or longer than the time resolution of the detector, can the intensity correlation be observed. To obtain a source with a sufficiently long coherence time, we employ a Faraday anomalous dispersion optical filter (FADOF) to filter the sunlight down to a narrow spectral width. The filter setup is shown in Fig. 1. Glan1 and Glan2 are two Glan prisms with an extinction ratio of $10^{-5}$; the FADOF rotates the polarization of light at its resonant wavelength by $90^\circ$ through Faraday anomalous dispersion, so with the correct orientation of Glan2 all other wavelengths are filtered out. Our FADOF (made by the Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences) has a resonant wavelength $\lambda$ at the 780 nm transition of Rb, with a bandwidth of 0.01 nm and peak transmission of about 0.5. Due to nonuniformity of the magnetic field in the FADOF, which leads to depolarization as well as widening of the transmitted light linewidth, a small quantity of light outside the expected wavelength range will leak from the filter system. To decrease the noise caused by the leakage, an interference filter IF with a transmission wavelength centered at 780 nm is used to prefilter the light in front of the system. The bandwidth of the filter is 3 nm and the peak transmission about 0.98. With this setup, we obtain a 780 nm beam of sunlight with a bandwidth close to 0.01 nm.

To check the performance of the FADOF, we first carried out an HBT experiment, with the setup shown in Fig. 2. The sunlight is collected by a Meade 127ED astronomical telescope, which can automatically track the sun. An absorption-type red glass filter is fitted to the telescope objective to block out most of the spectrum far away from 780 nm. The remaining light around 780 nm is transmitted in a multimode fiber to an optical table, where it is collimated by a fiber collimator C0 and focused by a lens L of focal length $f = 10$ cm to a spot of diameter $d = 0.75$ mm in the center of the FADOF. This focal point acts as a secondary source S of thermal light.

Fig. 1. Narrowband filter setup. IF, interference filter; Glan1 and Glan2, Glan prisms; FADOF, Faraday anomalous dispersion optical filter.
The beam is then divided by a 50:50 beam splitter, and the transmitted and reflected beams are coupled through fiber collimators C1 and C2 of diameters 10 mm into two single-photon detectors (Perkin Elmer SPCM-AQRH-13-FC) APD1 and APD2, respectively. The distances from the source S to the collimators are both \( z = 31 \) cm, so the spatial coherence length at the planes of the collimators is

\[
l_c = \frac{\lambda z}{d} = 0.32 \text{ mm.} \tag{1}
\]

To ensure that the sunlight collected by the two detectors has corresponding coherence areas, we insert two pinholes of diameter 0.3 mm in front of the two collimators at the corresponding transverse positions. The detector counts are sent to a time-correlated single-photon counting module (Becker & Hickl SPC-130) and processed in a computer. From the coincidence counts at different arrival times of the photons at the two detectors, we can derive the coherence time of the filtered 780 nm sunlight.

The HBT measurement results are plotted in Fig. 3, which shows the coincidence counts \( C(\Delta t) \) as a function of the difference in time-of-arrival \( \Delta t \) of photons at the two detectors. The peak at \( \Delta t = 0 \) reveals the intensity correlation of the narrowband sunlight. We see that the FWHM of the peak is 1.1 ns, which is much wider than our theoretical estimate of the coherence time of 780 nm light with a bandwidth of 0.01 nm:

\[
\tau_c = \frac{1}{\Delta \nu} = \frac{\lambda^2}{c \Delta \lambda} = 0.2 \text{ ns.} \tag{2}
\]

where \( \Delta \nu \) is the frequency bandwidth and \( c \) the velocity of light. The reason for this is that the time resolution of our detection system, including the single-photon detectors and TCSPC module, is about 0.45 ns and so is longer than the coherence time.

The intensity correlation function \( g^{(2)}(\Delta t = 0) \) of the light on the two collimators can be defined as [6]

\[
g^{(2)}(\Delta t = 0) = \frac{C(\Delta t = 0)}{C(\Delta t \to \infty)}, \tag{3}
\]

in which \( C(\Delta t = 0) \) can be obtained from the Gaussian fit directly, and \( C(\Delta t \to \infty) \) is chosen as the background of the Gaussian fit. From Eq. (3), the value of \( g^{(2)}(\Delta t = 0) \) is calculated to be 1.04, much less than the theoretical value of 2 for true thermal light. The main reason is again the relatively long time resolution of the detection system. Other reasons include the additional background noise caused by the leakage of the filter system and incomplete overlap of the coherent areas at the detectors due to possible misalignment. Nevertheless, the observation of a correlation peak proves that we are able to measure the intensity correlation of narrowband sunlight, which is a necessary condition for realizing GI with pure sunlight.

The HBT experimental setup was then modified to perform lensless GI with sunlight, as shown in Fig. 4. Pinhole 2 in the reflected beam of Fig. 2 is replaced by an object (Obj), behind which a lens (L2) focuses the light passing through the object into the single-photon detector (APD2). It should be emphasized that the lens here does not provide the function of imaging, but just collects the photons passing through the object to the collimator, which has no spatial resolution. To image the object, the collimator C1 is scanned in the direction transverse to

\[
\text{Fig. 2. Experimental setup of HBT measurement of sunlight. MEADE, Meade astronomical telescope; filter, FADOF setup of Fig. 1; BS, 50:50 beam splitter; C1, C2, fiber collimators; TCSPC, time-correlated single-photon counting module. The distances from the secondary source S to the collimators are both } z = 31 \text{ cm.}
\]

\[
\text{Fig. 3. Coincidence counts as a function of the arrival-time difference between photons at the two detectors. Black squares, experimental data; Solid curve, Gaussian fit.}
\]

\[
\text{Fig. 4. Experimental setup of lensless GI with sunlight. Sunlight is collected by the Meade astronomical telescope and multimode fiber as in the HBT experiment. BS: 50:50 beam splitter. Obj: object shown in bottom left, consisting of a mask with two holes. The distances from the secondary source, S, to the collimator and object are both } z = 31 \text{ cm.}
\]
the beam, and the coincidence counts of the detectors are recorded as a function of the transverse distance $x$.

The object in our experiment is a mask consisting of two round holes, 2.2 mm apart, as shown in the bottom left of Fig. 4. It was made by sticking a needle into a piece of copper foil, so the two holes had unequal diameters of approximately 0.5 and 0.4 mm. The 1D ghost image of a horizontal cross section of the object was obtained from the second-order intensity correlation function $g^{(2)}(x)$,

$$g^{(2)}(x) = \frac{C(x, \Delta t = 0)}{C(x, \Delta t \rightarrow \infty)}$$

and is plotted in Fig. 5. The black points were calculated from the experimental data, while the red line is a Gaussian fit. The FWHMs of the two peaks are 0.89 and 0.71 mm, respectively. Because the spatial coherence length at the detection plane is 0.32 mm, which is close to the real sizes of the holes, the diameters could not be measured precisely, but the distance between the two peaks is 2.2 mm, which is exactly the distance between the two holes. It should be noted that the visibility of the image is only 1.2%, again restricted mainly by the limited time resolution of the detection system and the leakage of the filter system.

Among the reasons for the low visibility in our experiment, the most crucial physical restriction is the relatively short coherence time of the source and the limited time resolution of the detection system. This problem is a major difficulty in GI with sunlight and needs to be solved in future work. Below we analyze the influences of coherence time and time resolution on the intensity correlation function. In real applications, the filters used in the two arms may not have exactly the same specifications, which will cause some difference between the bandwidths of the light incident on the two detectors. The relation between the intensity correlation function and coherence time of light in the two arms can be expressed as

$$g^{(2)}(\Delta t = 0) = 1 + \frac{2\tau_{c1}\tau_{c2}}{\sqrt{\tau_{c1}^2 + \tau_{c2}^2} \sqrt{\tau_{c1}^2 + \tau_{c2}^2 + \tau_{c2}^2}}.$$  

where $\tau_c$ is the effective time resolution of the detection system, and

$$\tau_{c1} = \frac{\lambda^2}{c\Delta\lambda_1}, \quad \tau_{c2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{c\Delta\lambda_2}.$$  

Here, $\tau_{c1}$, $\tau_{c2}$ are the coherence times and $\Delta\lambda_1$ and $\Delta\lambda_2$ the bandwidths of the light at the reference and bucket detectors, respectively.

In Fig. 6, the dependence of the intensity correlation function on the filter bandwidths is plotted. The time resolution $\tau_c$ is assumed to be 450 ps, as determined by the single-photon detector in our experiment. From the figure, it is clear that the narrower the linewidth, the higher the intensity correlation function will be. If the linewidths of the two light beams were both 0.001 nm, then the corresponding coherence times would both be 2 ns, and the intensity correlation function would be 1.99, almost reaching the theoretical value. However, for bandwidths of 0.05 nm, the coherence times are both 0.04 ns, which is much less than the time resolution 0.45 ns, then the intensity correlation function is only 1.13. Additionally, we notice that only when the bandwidths of both arms are sufficiently narrow can a large intensity correlation be obtained. If only one arm has a narrow bandwidth while the other is too broad, the intensity correlation will still be very small. For example, when the bandwidth in one arm is 0.01 nm (as in our experiment) but the other is as large as 0.05 nm, the intensity correlation function is only 1.16. This means that the bandwidths in both arms must be sufficiently narrow to obtain a good ghost image.

In conclusion, we have performed a proof-of-principle experiment demonstrating lensless GI with pure filtered sunlight. Although the image visibility is low, it can be increased by selecting a filter with an even narrower spectral width and less noise leakage; for example, by using a high finesse Fabry–Perot filter. As the sun is a free and universally available source of illumination, and GI only needs a single-pixel detector to obtain information about the object without an imaging lens, GI with sunlight has wide applications in situations, where the direct observation of a target with imaging resolution is difficult.
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