Precipitation Retrievals in typhoon domain combining of FY3C MWHTS Observations and WRF Predicted Models
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Abstract. A passive sub-millimeter precipitation retrievals algorithm is provided based on Microwave Humidity and Temperature Sounder (MWHTS) onboard the Chinese Feng Yun 3C (FY-3C) satellite. Using the validated global reference physical model NCEP/WRF/VDISORT, NCEP data per 6 hours are downloaded to run the Weather Research and Forecast model WRF, and derive the typical precipitation data from the whole world. The precipitation retrieval algorithm can operate either on land or on seawater for global. To simply the calculation procedure and save the training time, principle component analysis (PCA) was adapted to filter out the redundancy caused by scanning angle and surface effects, as well as system noise. According to the comparison and validation combing with other precipitation sources, it is demonstrated that the retrievals are reliable for surface precipitation rate higher than 0.1 mm/h at 15km resolution.
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1. Introduction
Global monitoring of precipitation is important because of its significant human consequences. However, the multiplicity of hydrometeor types and their small-scale and large-scale spatial inhomogeneities make accurate measurements difficult. For example, rain gauge measurements are significantly impaired by wind, poor global coverage, and the non-uniformity of rain. Both ground-based radars and passive microwave satellite sensors sense precipitation aloft and are generally unable to discern how much of that precipitation evaporates before impact. Both are also sensitive to unknown local hydrometeor size, form, and vertical velocity distributions, as are simple single frequency radars on satellites. The resulting lack of adequate ground truth seriously complicates development and validation of global precipitation sensing methods.

This paper addresses the following: 1) the consistency between histograms of millimeter-wave atmospheric radiances observed by satellite instruments FY-3C MWTHS [1-2] and those predicted by a mesoscale numerical weather prediction (NWP) model WRF (http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/) in combination with a four-stream radiative transfer model (VDISORT). WRF was initialized by National Center for Environmental Prediction NCEP [3] analyses on a 1° grid approximately 6 h prior to each FY-3C MWHTS transit and employed the
Goddard explicit cloud physics model. The scattering behavior of icy hydrometeors, including snow and graupel was considered. Retrievals of precipitation can have a coefficient of 0.61 now, and with the more and more observing data and validation data from radar and other techniques, this work is continuing and will have a better result.

2. Instrument description
MWHTS onboard FY-3C satellite is a fifteen-channel total power microwave radiometer in the range of 89GHz to 191GHz and has been launched in the September, 2013. Combining 13 horizontal polarized channels near 118.75GHz (which is the first operated to detect atmosphere on current operational polar orbiting satellite) and 183GHz, also window channels at 89GHz and 150GHz, precipitation content and path can be predicted.

Data assimilation of MWHS is demonstrated to improve the analysis of numerical weather prediction (NWP) and play an important role in the ECMWF forecast mode [4]. Based on sounding channels operated between 89 to 191 GHz (horizontal and vertical polarization), the MWHTS operated in cross-track scanning mode and will be used for deriving atmospheric vertical distribution of temperature and humidity, rainfall, etc. The chosen observation channels are at 89 GHz (vertical polarization), 118.75 GHz (horizontal polarization, eight-channel), 150 GHz (vertical polarization), 183.31 GHz (horizontal polarization, five-channel) [5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Center frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>polarization</th>
<th>Bandwidth (MHz)</th>
<th>LO precision (MHz)</th>
<th>Dynamic range (K)</th>
<th>NEAT (K)</th>
<th>Calibration accuracy (K)</th>
<th>3dB beam width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>118.75±0.08</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>118.75±0.2</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>118.75±0.3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>118.75±0.8</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>118.75±1.1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>118.75±2.5</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>118.75±3.0</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>118.75±5.0</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>183.31±1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>183.31±1.8</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>183.31±3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>183.31±4.5</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>183.31±7</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ～ 340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: In column 3, V/H means quasi V/H polarization

3. Data collecting and processing

3.1. Data collecting
NCEP datasets (per 6 hours) from January, 1, 2014 to Oct, 31, 2014 are chosen to run the Weather Research and Forecast model WRF, and derive the typical precipitation data from the whole world, especially for the extreme weather, such as typhoon, cyclone and rainfall. Bring out the incomplete cases and latitude values smaller than 0 degree and larger than 30 degree. The whole global datasets observed by FY-3C MWHTS from January, 1, 2014 to Oct, 31, 2014 are used to evaluated and analysis the validation of retrieval algorithm.
Radiance data in Level-1B format from FY-3C MWHTS are employed for this study [6-7]. Both FY-3C has afternoon-configured orbit. MWHTS is a self-calibrating total-power radiometer, which is a cross-track step-scan instrument and executes one complete revolution every 8/3 s period. The MWHTS antenna systems have a nominal field of view (FOV) of 1.1 degree at the half-power points (covering a 16-km diameter footprint at nadir) and execute a cross-track scan with 98 Earth FOVs (within ±53.35 degree of nadir), one cold space FOV, and one warm blackbody FOV per 8/3 s scan period. FOVs 1 and 98 (at ±53.35 degree from the nadir, respectively) are the outermost scan positions of the Earth views. The FOVs 49 and 50 (at ±1.1° from nadir) straddle the nadir. The space view center is at 107.1°. The space views and internal warm target views are used to complete on-orbit two-point calibration for MWHTS.

For FY-3C MWHTS, channel 9 and channel 15 are sensitive to precipitation. Combined with window channels distributed at 89GHz and 150GHz, people can retrieve precipitation rate using neural network estimators.

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1 Global brightness temperature values of 89 and 150GHz.

3.2. Data processing

3.2.1. Surface classification. MWHTS-observed footprints were classified as snow-free land, seawater, or sea ice and snow-covered land using the surface classification algorithm adapted from Chinnawat’s paper [8][9] (Surussavadee, C 2006, 2008), where inputs include MWHTS channels 1 and 10, land/sea flag, and surface temperature, which has been validated. In this paper, the authors focus on MWHTS footprints classified as snow-free land or seawater for global.

3.2.2. Matching. Microwave humidity and temperature sounder (MWHTS) are onboard the Chinese FY-3C satellite is a cross-track scanning radiometer with a swath width of 2650 km. MWHTS has a nadir footprint size of 15 km, and 98 fields of view per scan line. The absolute visiting period is 5.5 days. The limitation threshold of matching domain is 0.05 degree for both altitude and longitude with 20 mins.

The atmospheric and surface conditions are used for producing global simulations of brightness temperatures that are measured by MWHTS. The vertical profiles of temperature, specific humidity, and pressure, the surface parameters of surface skin temperature, 2-m wind speed, and wind direction from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) 6-h reanalysis data are used as input to WRF. The NCEP/WRF data field have a horizontal resolution 0.05°×0.05° and 29 vertical levels, with the highest vertical level located near 0.1 hPa.

3.2.3. Correction for small brightness temperature biases between NCEP/WRF/VDISORT and MWHTS. Considering the calibration accuracy and model error, bias correction of brightness
temperature are in needed. According to the bias calculations and error corrections about cold and warm target, variable target, nonlinearity error and system random noise, the primary calibration results can be derived, which are shown in Figure. 2 and 3, which meet the requirements of design and development of MWHTS onboard FY-C satellite. To demonstrate that system requirements are appropriate and can be met, the paper provides the analysis of brightness temperatures through calibration process and profile retrievals using observing data of MWHTS.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure.2** Comparisons of brightness temperature between observation and simulation.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure.3** Bias distribution of brightness temperature between observation and simulation.

3.2.4. *Omission of extreme values.* The following values are omitted: 1) any brightness temperature for that footprint is less than 50 K or greater than 400 K, which is invalid, 2) the surface altitude is above 2 km for |latitude| < 60°, or above 1.5 km for 60° ≤ |latitude| < 70°, or above 0.5 km elsewhere, which could be covered by snow and are sensed more strongly and is called too-high, or 3) MWHTS channel 9 is less than 190 K, which implies that the atmosphere is so cold and potentially dry that precipitation is unlikely and that even the most opaque channel, i.e., 183±1 GHz, may sense the surface and yield false detections of precipitation, and is called too-cold.
3.2.5. **Principal component analysis (PCA).** The method of principal component analysis is to extract only good signals that provide useful information about precipitation but are insensitive to most angle and surface effects, and other noises. Therefore, this method will filter out the redundancy caused by scanning angle and surface effects, as well as system noise. Also, the dimensions of data matrix are reduced, which is helpful to save time and make the retrievals easier.

4. **Retrievals and analysis**

ANN is essentially a nonlinear statistical regression between a set of predictors (in this case the observation vectors X) and a set of predictands (in this case profiles of atmospheric temperature Z). The ANN model consists 3 layers 1, 2, and 3, which represent the input layer, the hidden layer, and the output layer, respectively, as shown in Figure 4.

The neurons of the input layer are represented by vector $X_i$ ($X_1, X_2X_3, \ldots, X_L$), where $L$ is the number of the input neurons. The neurons of the middle layer are represented by vector $Y_i$ ($Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \ldots Y_M$), where $M$ is the number of the hidden neurons. The neurons of the output layer are represented by vector $Z_i$ ($Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$), where $Z$ is the rain detection and precipitation rate for different surface types and situations [10-12].

![Figure 4 The schematic of artificial neural network.](image)

Estimates over land are usually slightly less accurate than those over sea, as expected, particularly at the lower rain rates where the surface remains visible. Using the artificial neural network retrieval algorithm of rain detection and precipitation presented above, and considering difference distributions of brightness temperatures as model inputs, as well as observing angles, the global map of rain detection can be retrieved as Figure 5 and Figure 6 shows.

In Table 2, for most cases, the root mean square error (rms) errors are among the rain rate range, while for rain rate larger than 30mm/h, the rms error is less than the lower bound, which suggests good retrieval performance. While in typhoon region, the retrievals of rain rate are slightly worse and need more training data to improve the retrieving model.
Figure 5 Global distributions of brightness temperatures on Jan 20, 2015 for (a) channel 1 (b) channel 10 for FY-3C MWHTS

![Brightness temperatures of channel 10 in certain region](image1)

![Rain detection in certain region](image2)

Figure 6 Brightness temperatures and rain detection results based on MWHTS on time 22:44 Jan 20, 2015 to 00:30 Jan 20, 2015.

Table 2 Rain detection of typhoon domain by FY-3C MWHTS between Jan 1 to Oct 31, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rain Rate (mm/h)</th>
<th>Land rms/K</th>
<th>Sea rms/K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Typhoon domain</td>
<td>0.1-1</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>17.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>24.21</td>
<td>21.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-65</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The correlation coefficients between rain rate detection and retrievals were found to be approximately 0.79 and 0.81 for land and sea, respectively.

5. Conclusion
For the recent work, the surface is classified the land mark as land and sea, and using the observing data to test and validate the accuracy of the rain rate. The work is not enough, and the authors are doing further improvement, like considering the surface covered by snow, ice and rainforest. Also, according to convection and stratiform, and classifying different rain rate from 0.1 to 50 as detail as possible to retrieve the rain rate. The radar data are also needed to validate the accuracy of rain detection. All of above will be described in future paper.

References
July 2012.


